1 2 3	Matthew S. Hale, Esq. HALE & ASSOCIATES Calif. State Bar No. 136690 45 Rivermont Drive Newport News, VA 23601		
4 5	Mailing Address: P.O. Box 1951 Newport News, VA 23601		
6	Telephone No. (757) 596-1143 E-Mail: matthale@verizon.net		
8	Attorney for Plaintiffs, DAVID J. LEE and DANIEL R. LLOYD		
9 10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12 13	DAVID J. LEE and DANIEL R. LLOYD,	Case No.: C	07-4732 MJJ
14	as individuals and, on behalf of others similarly situated,	PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO	
15	Plaintiffs,	DISMISS	
16 17	vs.	DATE: TIME: PLACE	December 4, 2007 9:30 a.m. Courtroom 11
18 19	CHASE MANHATTAN BANK U.S.A.,  N.A., a Delaware corporation, CHASE  MANHATTAN BANK U.S.A., N.A. d.b.a.	TERCE	19 <sup>th</sup> Floor 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, Calif. 94102
20	CHASE BANK U.S.A., N.A., JPMORGAN (CHASE & CO., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1, through 100, inclusive,		sun Trunesseo, eum. > 1202
22			
23	<b>Defendants.</b>		
24			
25 26			
27			
28			

Plaintiffs, by their undersign attorneys, hereby oppose Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, on the bases that: (1) Plaintiffs have standing to maintain the action and its various causes of action; (2) Plaintiffs' claims concerning their charge, credit, gift, and dining cards fall within the coverage of the Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq.; (3) the Complaint meets the specificity requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 9(b); (4) Plaintiffs' causes of action are not barred by the relevant statute of limitations; (5) Plaintiffs' complaint is not preempted by the National Bank Act, 12 U.S.C. § 24 et seq., and/or its implementing regulations (12 C.F.R. §§ 7.4008(d)(2)(iv) and (viii); and, (6) the Unfair Competition Law (California Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et seq.), the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act (California Civil Code §§ 1750 et seq., or the common law in the context of Plaintiffs' Complaint have, at most, only an incidental effect on Defendant's lending operation and are not preempted by the National Bank Act and its implementing regulations.

The Court is respectfully referred to the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities in support of this Opposition.

Dated: November 13, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Matthew S. Hale
Attorney for Plaintiffs
David J. Lee and Daniel R. Lloyd